Information Directed Sampling for Sparse Linear Bandits

Botao Hao Deepmind

Joint works with Tor Lattimore (Deepmind), Wei Deng (Purdue University)

 At each round t ∈ [n], the agent chooses an action A_t ∈ A ⊆ ℝ^d and receives a reward:

$$Y_t = \langle A_t, \theta^* \rangle + \eta_t.$$

where η_t is 1-sub-Gaussian noise. Assume for any $a \in A$, $||a||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $|\mathcal{A}| = K$. The notion of sparsity can be defined through the parameter space Θ :

$$\Theta = \left\{ heta \in \mathbb{R}^d \left| \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{1}\{ heta_j
eq 0\} \leq \mathfrak{s}, \| heta\|_2 \leq 1
ight\}$$

Stochastic Sparse Linear Bandits

 At each round t ∈ [n], the agent chooses an action A_t ∈ A ⊆ ℝ^d and receives a reward:

$$Y_t = \langle A_t, \theta^* \rangle + \eta_t.$$

where η_t is 1-sub-Gaussian noise. Assume for any $a \in A$, $||a||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $|\mathcal{A}| = K$. The notion of sparsity can be defined through the parameter space Θ :

$$\Theta = \left\{ heta \in \mathbb{R}^d igg| \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{1}\{ heta_j
eq 0\} \leq s, \| heta\|_2 \leq 1
ight\}$$

- Data-poor regime: $d \gtrsim n$; data-rich regime: $d \lesssim n$.
- Cumulative regret for bandit θ^* :

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\theta^*}(n;\pi) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^n \langle x^*, \theta^* \rangle - \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t\right],$$

where x^* is the optimal action.

• Worse-case regret: $\sup_{\theta^*} \mathfrak{R}_{\theta^*}(n; \pi)$; Bayesian regret: $\mathbb{E}_{\theta^*}[\mathfrak{R}_{\theta^*}(n; \pi)]$.

- If the action set is **arbitrary**, there exists a $\Omega(\sqrt{dsn})$ minimax lower bound.
- If the action set is **exploratory**, there exists a $\Omega(\min(s^{1/3}n^{2/3}, \sqrt{dn}))$ minimax lower bound¹.
- Carefully balancing the trade-off between **information and regret** is necessary in sparse linear bandits.

¹High-Dimensional Sparse Linear Bandits. NeurIPS 2020.

- Those lower bounds are (nearly) sharp:
 - $\widetilde{O}(s^{2/3}n^{2/3})$ achieved by explore-then-commit².

Optimal in data-poor regime but sub-optimal in data-rich regime.

O(\sqrt{dsn}) achieved by optimism-based algorithm³.
 Optimal in data-rich regime but sub-optimal in data-poor regime.

Q: Can we have an algorithm that is optimal in both regimes?

²High-Dimensional Sparse Linear Bandits. NeurIPS 2020.

 $^{^{3}}$ Online-to-Confidence-Set Conversions and Application to Sparse Stochastic Bandits. AISTATS 2012.

- We prove that optimism-based algorithms fail to optimally address the information-regret trade-off in sparse linear bandits, which results in a sub-optimal regret bound.
- We provide the first analysis using information theory for sparse linear bandits and derive a class of nearly optimal Bayesian regret bounds for IDS that can adapt to information-regret structures.
- To approximate the information ratio, we develop an empirical Bayesian approach for sparse posterior sampling using spike-and-slab Gaussian-Laplace prior.

Q: Does the optimism optimally balance information and regret?

In general, optimism-based algorithms π^{opt} choose

$$A_t = \operatorname*{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \max_{\widetilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{C}_t} \langle a, \widetilde{\theta} \rangle,$$

where C_t is some sparsity-aware confidence set that can be constructed through online-to-confidence-set conversions.

Claim. Let π^{opt} be such an optimism-based algorithm. There exists a sparse linear bandit instance characterized by θ such that for the **data-poor** regime, we have

 $\mathfrak{R}_{\theta}(n; \pi^{\mathrm{opt}}) \gtrsim n/(\log(n)s\log(ed/s))$.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ be the space of probability measures over \mathcal{A} . Then we define

$$C_{\min}(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})} \sigma_{\min}\Big(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{A} \sim \mu}\big[\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}^{\top}\big]\Big).$$

Remarks.

• When $C_{\min}(\mathcal{A})$ is a constant, we say

"action set A admits a well-conditioned exploratory policy".

• What is **information**? Pulling arms according to this exploratory policy, we collect information (well-conditioned data).

IDS (Russo and Van Roy (2014)) balances the information gain about the optimal action and single-round regret:

- Assume θ^* is from some sparse prior distribution.
- $\mathbb{P}_t(\cdot) = \mathbb{P}(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t)$ as the posterior measure.
- Information gain I_t(x*; Y_{t,a}): the mutual information between the optimal action and the reward the agent receives for taking action a.
- Expected single-round regret $\Delta_t(a) := \mathbb{E}_t[\langle x^*, \theta^* \rangle \langle a, \theta^* \rangle].$
- IDS takes the action according to

$$\pi_t = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\pi} \Psi_t(\pi) = \frac{(\Delta_t^\top \pi)^2}{l_t^\top \pi}.$$

Bayesian Regret Bound

Theorem. For an arbitrary action set, the following regret bound holds $\mathfrak{BR}(n;\pi^{\mathsf{IDS}}) \lesssim \sqrt{nds}$.

When $\ensuremath{\mathcal{A}}$ is exploratory and has sparse optimal actions, the following regret bound holds

$$\mathfrak{BR}(n; \pi^{\mathsf{IDS}}) \lesssim \min\left\{\sqrt{nds}, \frac{sn^{2/3}}{(2C_{\min}(\mathcal{A}))^{1/3}}\right\}$$

Great adaptivity of IDS for sparse linear bandits in the sense that a single policy adapts to different information-regret structures.

Table 1: Regret bounds of IDS for different regimes.

	Arbitrary action set	Exploratory (data-rich)	Exploratory (data-poor)
Large K	$O(\sqrt{nds})$	$O(\sqrt{nds})$	$O(sn^{2/3})$
Small K	$O(\sqrt{nd\log(K)})$	$O(\sqrt{nd\log(K)})$	$O(s^{2/3}n^{2/3}\log^{1/3}(K))$

Bonus: efficient implementation is available through an empirical Bayesian approach for sparse posterior sampling.

Corollary. For an arbitrary action set, the following regret bound holds for some absolute constant C>0

$$\mathfrak{BR}(n; \pi^{\mathsf{TS}}) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} n d \min(\log(\mathcal{K}), 2s \log(C d n^{1/2}/s))}$$

